From: Kleinmaier, Danielle
To: Zintek, Lawrence

Cc: Carolyn Persoon (persoon.carolyn@epa.gov); Schupp, George

 Subject:
 RE: PFAS Samples

 Date:
 Friday, April 26, 2019 5:54:00 PM

 Attachments:
 B19D047PFASR6 sequence contamination.pdf

After evaluating the contamination we saw in the sequence for WO 1904036 (instrument report attached), I have no idea where the contamination came from. We're having problems with PFHpA, PFOA, PFOS, PFDA, PFBS, and PFUnA. My investigative summaries are below. I was really hoping it was Larry's lab coat, but the data didn't correlate. It also doesn't appear to be carryover from previous samples (which could potentially happen reusing the luer lock syringes and the glass stir rods).

Maybe it was just a one-off? Larry, can you remember doing or touching anything unusual during prep on Thursday (4/25)? Did you open anything new (new package of 15 mL Falcon tubes, new box of LC vials, new bag of LC vial caps, new bottle of methanol)?

We'll have to run some tests on Monday to ensure we're clean and PFAS-free again. No field samples were prepared today and yesterday's samples will have to be re-prepared to ensure the field results are real/reliable, so WOs 1904036 (re-batched) and 1904037 (arrived Friday) will have to be prepared/analyzed on Monday.

Red Kap lab coats:		Deer Park data (1904033-06):		B19D047 sequence contamination:	
PFAS Analyte	Conc. (ppt on-column), avg.	PFAS Analyte	Conc. (ppt on-column)	PFAS Analyte	Conc. (ppt on-column)
PFOS (extrapolated)	1180	6:2 FTS	301	PFHpA	103
PFBA	73.0	PFOS	35.6	PFOA	25.0
PFHpA	64.4	PFHxA	28.3	PFOS	14.5
PFPeA	39.3	PFPeA	25.9	PFDA	3.27
PFHpS	35.2	PFBA	12.8	PFBS	3.24
PFTreA	34.5	PFHxS	12.0	PFUnA	2.53
PFHxA	14.7	PFHpA	10.7		
PFDA	14.2	PFBS	7.28		
PFTriA	10.2				
PFUnA	9.59				
PFHxS	8.18				
PFOA	8.03				
PFBS	4.36				
PFDoA	4.15				
PFNA	3.87				
PFNS	2.62				

^{**}Analytes sorted high to low by concentration

Preparing batch QC/field	Possible source of			
samples:	contamination?	Evidence from B19D047 sequence		
15 mL Falcon tubes	unlikely	no previous contamination issues at this level using same lot # (box) of tubes		
turqoise pipette tips	no	RB solution demonstrated clean (made w/turqoise pipette tips)		
		no contamination identified in low level ICAL standards (levels 1 - 3 made with		
yellow pipette tips		yellow pipette tips); RB solution demonstrated clean (made w/yellow pipette		
	no	tips)		
Acrodisc GxF/0.2 um GHP		no previous contamination issues at this level using same lot # and rinsing		
membrane filter units (rinsed)	maybe	procedure of filter units (unless rinsing reagent lot #s has changed recently)		
luer lock syringes (rinsed)		no previous contamination issues at this level using same syringes and rinsing		
ider lock syringes (rinsed)	maybe	procedure of syringes (unless rinsing reagent lot #s has changed recently)		
glass stir rods, short		no previous contamination issues linked to glass stir rods (unless dishwashing		
glass stil rous, short	maybe	procedure has changed recently)		
I C vials		no QC failures in ICAL, SS, RB, CCV; no unusual concentrations in		
LC VIAIS	unlikely	accompanying field sample dilutions		
LC vial caps		no QC failures in ICAL, SS, RB, CCV; no unusual concentrations in		
EC viai caps	unlikely	accompanying field sample dilutions		
Milli-Q water	no	no RB solution demonstrated clean (made w/Milli-Q water)		
methanol	no	RB solution demonstrated clean (made w/methanol)		
acetic acid	no RB solution demonstrated clean (made w/acetic acid)			
surrogate spike solution	no	no QC failures in BLK1 QC sample, only BLK2		
DI switze salution		contamination only identified in BLK2 and BSD1; no QC failures in RL check		
RL spike solution	no	samples		
TC spike solutions		no QC failures in BS1 QC sample, only BSD1; no unusual concentrations in		
i c spike solutions	no	accompanying matrix spike QC samples		
50 mL Falcon tubes		RB solution demonstrated clean (made w/50 mL Falcon tubes); no QC failures		
50 IIIL FAICON LUDES	no	in ICAL, SS (made in 50 mL Falcon tubes)		

From: Zintek, Lawrence

Sent: Friday, April 26, 2019 12:54 PM

To: Griffin, Sylvia <griffin.sylvia@epa.gov>; McQuiddy, David <Mcquiddy.David@epa.gov>; Turner, Philip@epa.gov>; Rauscher, Jon <Rauscher.Jon@epa.gov>; Loesel, Matthew <loesel.matthew@epa.gov>; Corey Bercher <Corey.Bercher@WestonSolutions.com>; Warren, Christy <warren.christy@epa.gov>; Adams, Adam <Adams.Adam@epa.gov>; Patel, Anish <patel.anish@epa.gov>; Jeff.Wright@WestonSolutions.com

Cc: Reese, Diane <Reese.Diane@epa.gov>; Kleinmaier, Danielle <kleinmaier.danielle@epa.gov>

Subject: PFAS Samples

All,

The samples we received yesterday were analyzed and upon reviewing the data it was observed that we have PFAS contamination for some analyzes in the method blanks and sporadic high hits in a very few of the samples. We have to determine where this is coming from before we analyze any more samples. Could be from tubes, vials, pipette tips......Who knows? This stuff is everywhere.

We will keep you posted.

Sorry,

Larry